

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**APR 11 2008**

### REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in view of the above-referenced Office Action, and reconsideration is requested in view of the following remarks.

### Regarding the Rejections

Responsive to the new rejections, the claims have been amended so that all claims now explicitly call for a first table which stores an attribute that explicitly designates whether or not a major channel associated with a physical channel is or is not PSIP compliant. Moreover, the structure of two other lookup tables are called out, so that the claims now explicitly call for three tables and their structure is defined in a manner not contemplated or suggested by the cited art.

This distinguishes over the Wasilewski reference which appears to only show storage of TSID and frequency. While the art may store information from which it can be determined whether or not a channel is PSIP compliant, the undersigned finds no teaching of storing an explicit entry in one of three tables as explicitly defined in the claims that designates a channel as PSIP compliant. With the explicit specifying of the three separate tables and their explicit structures, including an attribute which is essentially a yes/no or equivalent callout of whether or not a major channel is PSIP compliant, these claims are believed to patentably distinguish over the cited references. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested at an early date.

### Concluding Remarks

The undersigned additionally notes that other distinctions exist between the cited art and the claims. However, in view of the clear distinctions pointed out above, further discussion is believed to be unnecessary at this time. Failure to specifically address each point raised in the Office Action should accordingly not be viewed as accession to the Examiner's position or an admission of any sort.

### Interview Request

In view of this communication, all claims are now believed to be in condition for allowance and such is respectfully requested at an early date. If further matters remain to be

Application No.: 10/618,916

resolved, the undersigned respectfully requests the courtesy of an interview. The undersigned can be reached at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jerry A. Miller 30779/

Jerry A. Miller  
Registration No: 30,779

Dated: 4/11/08

Please Send Correspondence to:  
Jerry A. Miller  
Miller Patent Services  
2500 Dockery Lane  
Raleigh, NC 27606  
Phone: (919) 816-9981  
Fax: (919) 816-9982  
**Customer Number 24337**

Application No.: 10/618,916

-7-